

Quality of Water Suitable for Irrigation In ShirinTagab(in Faryabprovince) and Sholgara(in Balkh province)

By: M. Hassan Saffi, Senior Hydro geologist Ahmad Jawid, Hydro geologist

November 2011

Paikob-e-Naswar, Wazirabad, PO Box 208, Kabul, Afghanistan Phone: (+93) (020) 220 17 50, Mobile (+93) (0)70 28 82 32 E-mail: <u>dacaar@dacaar.org</u>, Web site: <u>www.dacaar.org</u>

Table of Contents

Table of Figures	2
Table of Tables	2
Symbols and Abbreviation	3
1. Introduction	4
2. Objectives	5
3. Guideline for suitability of water quality	5
4. Evaluation of water quality Shirin Tagab district	7
4.1 Summary of water quality concerns	8
4.3 Summary of water quality parameters analyzed and calculated data .	9
5. Evaluation of water quality in Sholgara district	
5.1 Summary of water quality parameters	
5.2 Graphic evaluation of sodium and salinity hazard by Wilcox plot	
5.3 Summary of water quality parameters analyzed and calculated data .	14
6. Summary and suggestion	
6.1 Shirin Tagab district	16
6.2 Sholgara district	

Table of Figures

Figure 1 Location of Shirin Tagab District	4
Figure 2 Location of Sholgara district	5
Figure 3 Location of water samples in Gurzad village	7
Figure 4 Graphic evaluation of sodium (SAR) and salinity (EC) hazard for irrigation	9
Figure 5 Location of water samples and summary of water in Sholgara district 1	2
Figure 6 Graphic evaluation of sodium (SAR) and salinity (EC) hazard for irrigation 1	3

Table of Tables

Table 1 Guideline for assessment of salinity hazard of irrigation water	5
Table 2 Guidelines for assessment of sodium versus salinity hazard of irrigation water	6
Table 3 Guideline for assessment specific ion toxicity of irrigation water	6
Table 4 Classification of irrigation water: Boron concentration in relation to plant tolerance	6
Table 5 Chloride classification of irrigation water	6
Table 6 Suitability of groundwater and surface water for irrigation based on percent sodium	6
Table 7 Suitability of groundwater and surface water for irrigation: residual sodium carbonate	
(RSC)	7
Table 8 Suitability of groundwater and surface water for irrigation: magnesium hazard (MH)	7
Table 9 Summary of water quality in Gurzad village	8

Table 10 Summary of water quality concern in groundwater Gurzad village	
Table 11 Summary of water quality parameters analyzed and calculated data for Gurzad	110
Table 12 Summary of water quality parameters analyzed and calculated data Gurzad	11
Table 13 Summary of water quality for surface concern Shikha Village	
Table 14 Summary of water concern in Qipchaq village surface water	13
Table 15 Summary of water quality in Qipchaq Village for groundwater	13
Table 16 Summary of water quality in Shikha	14
Table 17 Summary of water quality in Qipchq	15
Table 18 Summary of water quality	16

Symbols and Abbreviation

	_
В	Boron
CI	Chloride
dS/m	Deci-Siemens/meter
DW	Dug Well
EC	Electrical Conductivity or Salinity
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization.
gr/l	Gram per litre
ĞW	Groundwater
m	Meter
m ³	Cubic meters
meq/L or meq/l	milli equivalents per litre
mg/l or mg/L	Milligram per litre
MH	Magnesium Hazard
mm	Millimeters
mmhos/cm (mS/cm)=	millimohos/cm at 25 degree Celsius
mmol/l	millimol per liters
Na	Sodium
NO3	Nitrate
ppb	Part per billions
ppm	Part per millions
RSC	Residual Sodium Bicarbonate
SAR	Sodium Adsorption Ratio
SW	Surface Water
TD	Total depth
TDS	Total Dissolved Solid (mg/l or gr/l)
TW	Tube Well
WP	Water point
μ	micro
μ g/l	Micrograms per litre
μS/cm	Micro-Siemence per centimeter

1. Introduction

Water quality suitable for irrigation agriculture is complex and affected by the following:

- a) Salinity (Electrical conductivity) hazard
- b) Permeability or sodium hazard(SAR)
- c) Toxicity or specific ions toxicity (Sodium, Boron and Chloride)
- d) Miscellaneous
- e) pH acid or basic
- f) Others (Sodium percentage, residual sodium bicarbonate or residual alkalinity, permeability index, magnesium hazards).

Therefore, we analysed water samples of groundwater(GW) and surface water (SW) from ShirinTagab and Sholgara district to discover if the water is suitable for irrigation according to the above limitations. This is a pilot chemical and physical analysis of water samples for suitability of water quality for irrigation. We will develop these observations via chemical analysis of more water samples and soil salinity for selection of tolerant crops according to the suitability of water quality and soil characteristics in the future.

Figure 1 and figure 2 indicate the study area for suitability of water quality for irrigation.



Figure 1 Location of ShirinTagab District



Figure 2 Location of Sholgara district

Key words: Physico-chemical characteristics; surface water and groundwater; suitability; irrigation; agriculture.

2. Objectives

The main objectives of this study are:

- Evaluate water quality concern parameters for suitability of groundwater and surface water for irrigated agriculture.
- Select crops which are tolerant for mentioned parameters.
- Present management alternative that can be expected to improve production of adapted crops with availability of groundwater and surface water for irrigation.

3. Guideline for suitability of water quality

The suitability criteria for water that can be used for agricultural are indicated in table 1 to 8.

Potential	Limitation/degree of problem on use		
problem	Non	Slight-moderate	Sever
EC (dS/m)	<0.75	0.75-3.00	> 3
TDS (mg/L)	<400	450 - 2000	> 3

Source: Water quality for irrigation (FAO, 1985)

Salinity and permeability	Limitation/degree of problem on use		
problem (evaluate using EC	Non	Slight-moderate	Sever
and SAR)			
SAR		EC (dS/m)	
0-3	> 0.7	0.7 – 0.2	< 0.2
3 – 6	> 1.2	1.2 – 0.3	< 0.3
6 – 12	> 1.9	1.9 – 0.5	< 0.5
12 - 20	> 2.9	2.9 – 1.3	< 1.3
20 - 40	> 5	5 – 2.9	<2.9

Table 2 Guidelines for assessment of sodium versus salinity hazard of irrigation water

Source: Water quality for irrigation (FAO, 1985)

Table 3 Guideline for assessment specific ion toxicity of irrigation water

Specific ion toxicity(affects	Limitation/degree of problem on use		
sensitive crops)	Non	Slight-moderate	Sever
Sodium or SAR(surface	< 3	3 - 9	> 9
irrigation)			
Boron (mg/L)	< 0.7	0.7 - 3	> 3
Chloride (meq/L)	< 4	4 - 10	> 10

Source: Water quality for irrigation (FAO, 1985)

Table 4 Classification of irrigation water: Boron concentration in relation to plant tolerance

Classification	Sensitive plant	Semi-tolerant plant	Tolerant plant		
	Boron concentrations (ppm)				
Good	< 0.3	< 0.6	< 1.0		
Fair	0.4 - 0.6	0.7 – 1.3	1.1 – 2		
Poor	0.7 - 1.0	1.4 - 2.0	2.1 - 3.0		
Poor	1.1 – 1.3	2.1 - 2.5	3.1 - 3.8		
Unsuitable	> 1.3	> 2.5	> 3.8		

Source: http//www.osueextra.com

Table 5 Chloride classification of irrigation water

Chloride (ppm)	Effect on Crops
Below 70	Generally safe for all plants.
70-140	Sensitive plants show injury.
141-350	Moderately tolerant plants show injury.
Above 350	Can cause severe problems.

Source: Mass (1990) Crop Salt Tolerance. *Agricultural Salinity Assessment and Management Manual*. K.K. Tanji (ed.). ASCE, New York. pp 262-304.

Table 6 Suitability of groundwater and surface water for irrigation based on percentage sodium

% Na	Water class
< 20	Excellent
20 - 40	Good
40 - 60	Permissible
60 - 80	Doubtful
>80	Unsuitable

Sources: Advances applied science research, 2011.

RSC (meq/l)	Remark on quality
<1.25	Good
1.5-2.5	Doubtful
> 2.5	Unsuitable

 Table 7 Suitability of groundwater and surface water for irrigation: residual sodium carbonate (RSC)

Sources: Advances applied science research, 2011.

Table 8 Suitabi	lity of g	groundwater and	d surface water	for irrigation:	magnesiun	n hazard	(MH).
		,					().

MH (meq/l)	Remark on quality
< 50	Good
> 50	Unsuitable

Sources: Advances applied science research, 2011.

4. Evaluation of water quality ShirinTagab district

Two water samples were collected (from groundwater and surface water) from Gurzad village of ShirinTagab district in Faryab Province). The location of water samples and summary of water quality concern parameters for irrigationis indicated in the figure 3.



Figure 3 Location of water samples in Gurzad village

4.1 Summary of water quality concerns

The summary of surface and ground water quality parameters and remarks on suitability of water for irrigation are indicated in the tables 9 and 10 for water of surface in table 9 and for groundwater in table 10.

Parameters	Symbol	Unit	Values	Remarkable on water quality
Electrical Conductivity/Salinity	EC	µS/cm	1160	Moderate problem for
		-		irrigation
pН			7.7	Normal for irrigation
Sodium	Na	%	36.66	Good for irrigation
Sodium Adsorption Ratio	SAR	meq/l	4.47	Excellent for irrigation
Residual Sodium Bicarbonate	RSC	meq/l	4.56	Unsuitable for irrigation and
				need soil modification
Boron	В	mg/l	0.2	Good for sensitive plant
Magnesium Hazard	MH	meq/l	61.8	Harmful for irrigation and
				need soil modification
Chloride	Cl	mg/l	12.5	Safe for all plants
Nitrate	NO3	mg/l	3.04	Excellent for irrigation

Table 9 Summary of water quality in Gurzad village

Table 10 Summary of water quality concern in groundwater Gurzad village

Parameters	Symbol	Unit	Values	Remarkable on water quality
Electrical Conductivity/Salinity	EC	µS/cm	1660	Moderate problem for irrigation
pН			7.55	Normal for irrigation
Sodium	Na	%	40.08	Good for irrigation
Sodium Adsorption Ratio	SAR	meq/l	4.93	Excellent for irrigation
ResiduaSodium Bicarbonate	RSC	meq/l	14.50	Unsuitable for irrigation and
				need soil modification
Boron	В	mg/l	0.7	Fair for semi-tolerant plant
Magnesium Hazard	MH	meq/l	57	Harmful for irrigation and need
				modification
Chloride	Cl	mg/l	20.5	Safe for all plants
Nitrate	NO3	mg/l	4.32	Excellent for irrigation

4.2 Graphic evaluation of sodium and salinity hazard by Wilcox plot

Wilcox plot is indicated in figure 4 evaluating the sodium and salinity hazard for surface and groundwater.



Figure 4 Graphic evaluation of sodium (SAR) and salinity (EC) hazard for irrigation

The sample from surface water is plotted in C_3S_1 which is indicating high salinity hazard and low sodium hazard. It can be used for irrigation of almost all types of soil with little hazard for exchangeable sodium concentration. The sample from groundwater is plotted in C_3S_2 which is indicating high salinity hazard and moderate sodium hazard. It can be used for irrigation with moderate sodium hazard. It can be used for irrigation with moderate sodium hazard.

4.3 Summary of water quality parameters analyzed and calculated data

The summary of water quality parameters analyzed and calculated data of surface and ground water are indicated in the table 11 and 12.

Table 11 Summary	of water o	quality	parameters a	nalyzed and	d calculated	data for Gurzad
------------------	------------	---------	--------------	-------------	--------------	-----------------

ummary of water	quality para	meters anal	yzed and ca	lculated
sample Date	01/10/2012			
Station	ShiFar 5			
Province	Faryab			
District	Shirin Taqab			
Village	Gurzad			
Source	Sample from DW			
Location	011			
Geology				
Watertype	Na-Mg-HCO3-S	SO4-CO3		
Temperature ('C)	20			
pH	7.55			
Conductivity	1655	uS/cm		
Sum of Anions	21.07858	meg/L		
Sum of Cations	21.09735	meg/L		
Balance	4.451361E-02	%		
Total dissolved solids	1460.94	ma/L		
Total hardness	4.975979	mg/I CaCO3		
Alkalinity	14.49925	mg/I CaCO3		
Major ion composition	mg/l	mmol/l	meq/l	
Na	253	11.00489	11.00489	
к	4.8	0.1227675	0.1227675	
Ca	84	2.095913	4.191826	
Mg	70	2.880066	5.760132	
a	20.5	0.5782352	0.5782352	
SO4	280	2.916667	5.833333	
NO3	4.32	6.967742E-02	6.967742E-02	
HCO3	600	9.833302	9.833302	
Ratios			Comparison to S	eawater
	mg/l	mmol/l	mg/l	mmo
Ca/Mg	1.2	0.727731	0.319	0.19
Ca/SO4	0.3	0.7185988	0.152	0.3
Na/CI	12.34146	19.03186	0.556	0.85
CI/Br	15.07353	33.97302	287	64

	ter quanty pa				
Summary of water	r quality pa	rameters a	malyzed ar	nd calculate	d data:
Sample ID Sample Date Station Province District	ShiFar 1-1 03/10/2012 ShiFar 4 Faryab Shirin Tagab				
Village Source Location Geology	Gurzad Surface Water				
Watertype Temperature ('C) pH Conductivity	Na-Mg-HCO3-0 20.1 7.77 1160	uS/cm			
Sum of Anions Sum of Cations Balance	16.50128 16.59587 0.285787	meq/L meq/L %			
Total dissolved solids Total hardness Alkalinity	1124.075 3.660184 11.87704	mg/L mg/I CaCO3 mg/I CaCO3			
Major ion composition Na K Ca Mo	mg1 210 5.4 56	mmol/l 9.134498 0.1381134 1.397275 2.262909	meq/l 9.134498 0.1381134 2.794551 4.525818		
C0 SO4 NO3 HCO3	12.5 200 3.04 440	0.3525824 2.083333 4.903226E-02 7.211088	0.3525824 4.166667 4.903226E-02 7.211088		
Ratios			Comparison to	Seawater	
Ca/Mg Ca/SO4 Na/Cl Cl/Br	mg/i 1.018182 0.28 16.8 16.23377	0.6174687 0.6706921 25.90741 36.58799	mg/i 0.319 0.152 0.556 287	0.194 0.364 0.858 648	

 Table 12 Summary of water quality parameters analyzed and calculated dataGurzad

5. Evaluation of water quality in Sholgara district

Three water samples were collected (one from groundwater and two surface water) from Qipchaq and Shikhha (Mula Sahib) villages of Sholgara district. The location of water samples and summary of water quality concern parameters for irrigationis in the figure 5.



Figure 5 Location of water samples and summary of water in Sholgara district

5.1 Summary of water quality parameters

The summary of surface and ground water quality parameters and remarks on suitability of water for irrigation are indicated in the tables 13, 14, 15 & 16 (table 13& 14 for surface water and table 15 for groundwater) in Shikhha village of Sholgara district of Balkh province.

Parameters	Symbol	Unit	Values	Remarkable on water quality
Electrical Conductivity/Salinity	EC	µS/cm	557	Low and excellent for irrigation
рН			7.79	Normal for irrigation
Sodium	Na	%	23.6	Good for irrigation
Sodium Adsorption Ratio	SAR	meq/l	5.55	Excellent for irrigation
Residual Sodium Bicarbonate	RSC	meq/l	4.76	Unsuitable for irrigation and
				need soil modification
Boron	В	mg/l	0.05	Good for all plants
Magnesium Hazard	МН	meq/l	40.3	Not harmful for irrigation
Chloride	Cl	mg/l	26.5	Safe for all plants
Nitrate	NO3	mg/l	0.06	Excellent for irrigation

 Table 13 Summary of water quality for surface concern Shikha Village

Parameters	Symbol	Unit	Values	Remarkable on water quality
Electrical Conductivity/Salinity	EC	µS/cm	449	Low and excellent for irrigation
pН			8	Normal for irrigation
Sodium	Na	%	21.25	Good for irrigation
Sodium Adsorption Ratio	SAR	meq/l	3.82	Excellent for irrigation
Residual Sodium Bicarbonate	RSC	meq/l	7.4	Unsuitable for irrigation and
				need soil modification
Boron	В	mg/l	0.05	Good for all plants
Magnesium Hazard	MH	meq/l	61.4	Harmful for irrigation and need
				modification
Chloride	CI	mg/l	15	Safe for all plants
Nitrate	NO3	mg/l	6.04	Excellent for irrigation

Table 14 Summary of water concern in Qipchaq village surface water

Table 15 Summary of water quality in Qipchaq Village for groundwater

Parameters	Symbol	Unit	Values	Remarkable on water quality
Electrical Conductivity/Salinity	EC	µS/cm	660	Low and excellent for irrigation
pН			7.75	Normal for irrigation
Sodium	Na	%	27	Good for irrigation
Sodium Adsorption Ratio	SAR	meq/l	4.67	Excellent for irrigation
Residual Sodium Bicarbonate	RSC	meq/l	6.13	Unsuitable for irrigation need
				soil modification
Boron	В	mg/l	0.014	Good for all plants
Magnesium Hazard	MH	meq/l	39.3	Not harmful for irrigation
Chloride	CI	mg/l	5.7	Safe for all plants
Nitrate	NO3	mg/l	2.04	Excellent for irrigation

5.2 Graphic evaluation of sodium and salinity hazard by Wilcox plot

Wilcox plot is indicated in figure 6 evaluating the sodium and salinity hazard for surface and groundwater.



Figure 6 Graphic evaluation of sodium (SAR) and salinity (EC) hazard for irrigation

The points are plotted in C_2S_1 which are indicating low salinity hazard and low sodium hazard. Ground and surface water can be used for irrigation of all types of soil without any hazard for exchangeablesodium concentration.

Summary of water quality parameters analyzed and calculated data 5.3

The summary of water quality parameters analyzed and calculated dataof surface and groundwaterare indicated in the table 16, 17 and 18.

1	Table 16 Summary of water quality in Shikha								
	Summary of water quality parameters ana	lyz							

Summary of water	quality par	ameters an	alyzed and	calculated dat	ta
Sample ID Sample Date Station Province District Village	ShoBal 1-1 03/10/2012 ShoBal 1 Balkh Sholgara Shikha (Mula Sahib)				
Source	Sample from River				
Location Geology Watertype Temperature (°C) pH Conductivity	Na-CO3-HCO3 19.8 7.79 557	uS/cm			
Sum of Anions Sum of Cations Balance	11.17365 11.30507 0.5846466	meq/L meq/L %			
Total dissolved solids Total hardness Alkalinity	732.8959 1.838448 8.435384	mg/L mg/I CaCO3 mg/I CaCO3			
Major ion composition Na K Ca Mg Cl SO4 NO3 HCO3	mg/l 173 3.8 44 26.5 88 5.54 230	mmol/l 7.525086 9.719092E-02 1.097859 0.7405884 0.7474747 0.9166667 8.935484E-02 3.769433	meq/l 7.525086 9.719092E-02 2.195719 1.481177 0.7474747 1.833333 8.935484E-02 3.769433		
Ratios		Comparison to Seawater			
Ca/Mg Ca/SO4 Na/Cl Cl/Br	mg/l 2.444444 0.5 6.528302 15.05682	mmol/l 1.482415 1.197665 10.06734 33.93535	mg/l 0.319 0.152 0.556 287	mmol/I 0.194 0.364 0.858 648	

Table 17 Summary of water quality in Qipchq

Summary of water quality parameters analyzed and calculated data							
	Sample ID	ShoBal 1-2					
	Sample Date	ShoBal 1-2 04/10/2012					
	Station	ShoBal 2					
	Province	Balkh					
	District	Sholgara					
	Village	Qip Chag					
	Source	Surface Water					
	Location						
	Geology						
	Watertype	Na-Mg-CO3-H	003				
	Temperature (°C)	19.7					
	pH	8					
	Conductivity	449	uS/cm				
	Sum of Anions	8 387672	mea/l				
	Sum of Cations	8.639903	meg/L				
	Balance	1,481307	%				
	Total dissolved solids	547.885	mg/L				
	Total hardness	1.743425	mg/I CaCO3				
	Alkalinity	6.861928	mg/I CaCO3				
	Major ion composition	mol	mmol/l	mea/l			
	Na	116	5 045722	5 045722			
	ĸ	3.5	8.951796E-02	8.951796E-02			
	Ca	27	0.6736863	1.347373			
	Ma	26	1.069739	2.139477			
	CI	15	0.4230989	0.4230989			
	SO4	46	0.4791667	0.9583334			
	NO3	6.04	9.741935E-02	9.741935E-02			
	HCO3	195	3.195823	3.195823			
	Batios			Comparison to	Seawater		
		ma/l	mmol/l	ma/l	mmol/l		
	Ca/Mg	1.038462	0.6297672	0.319	0.194		
	Ca/SO4	0.5869565	1.405954	0.152	0.364		
	Na/CI	7.733333	11.92563	0.556	0.858		
	Cl/Br	15.30612	34.49724	287	648		

Table 18 Summary of water quality

Summary of water quality parameters analyzed and calculated data						
Sample ID Sample Date Station Province District Village	ShoBal 1-3 10/04/2012 ShoBal 3 Balkh Sholgara Qip Chaq					
Source	TW					
Location Geology Watertype Temperature (°C) pH Conductivity	Na-Ca-HCO3-0 19.7 7.57 606	uS/cm				
Sum of Anions	12 42576	mea/L				
Sum of Cations	13 64493	meg/L				
Balance	4.676397	%				
Dalarioo						
Total dissolved solids	844,6191	ma/L				
Total hardness	2.509483	mg/I CaCO3				
Alkalinity	11.15055	mg/I CaCO3				
Major ion composition	mg/l	mmol/l	meg/l			
Na	170	7.394593	7.394593			
к	4.3	0.1099792	0.1099792			
Ca	61	1.522032	3.044064			
Mg	24	0.9874511	1.974902			
CI	5.7	0.1607776	0.1607776			
SO4	50	0.5208334	1.041667			
NO3	2.04	3.290322E-02	3.290322E-02			
HCO3	355	5.818037	5.818037			
Ratios			Comparison to Seawater			
	mg/l	mmol/l	mg/l	mmol/l		
Ca/Mg	2.541667	1.541375	0.319	0.194		
Ca/SO4	1.22	2.922302	0.152	0.364		
Na/CI	29.82456	45.99269	0.556	0.858		
Cl/Br	4.56	10.27742	287	648		

6. Summary and Recommendation

The results derived from this study, carried out in ShirinTagab and Sholgara districts through analyzing and evaluating water samples from surface water and groundwater for suitability of water quality for irrigation agriculture are as below.

6.1 ShirinTagab district

- The electrical conductivity or salinity of water samples from surface water and groundwater indicates moderate hazard for irrigation. It is suggested to select crops that are tolerance for moderate salinity for increasing crop yields.
- The graphic evaluation (Figure 4) of analyzed water sample from surface water is plotted in C₃S₁ is indicating high salinity hazard and low sodium hazard. It can be used for irrigation of almost all types of soil with little hazard for exchangeable sodium. The sample from groundwater is plotted in C₃S₂(Figure 4) which is indicating high salinity hazard and moderate sodium hazard. It can be used for irrigation, but required to be monitored and care to be taken.
- The RSC values indicate that the surface and groundwater are unsuitable for irrigation. Therefore, an application is needed to modify the soil by application of gypsum.

- The MH calculated values indicate that the groundwater is harmful and surface water is suitable for irrigation.
- The sodium concentration percentage (%Na) indicate that the surface and groundwater are good for irrigation.
- Boron concentration level indicate that the surface water is good for sensitive plants and the groundwater is fair for semi-tolerant plans during irrigation.
- The chloride and nitrate concentration levels indicate that the surface water and groundwater are safe for plants during irrigation.

6.2 Sholgara district

- The graphic evaluation (Figure 6) of analyzed water samples from surface water and groundwater are plotted in C₂S₁ which indicate low salinity hazard and low sodium hazard. Ground and surface water can be used for irrigation of all types of soil without any hazard for exchangeable sodium.
- The electrical conductivity or salinity of water samples from surface water and groundwater indicatelow EC and therefore excellent for irrigation.
- The sodium concentration present (%Na) indicates that the surface and groundwater are good for irrigation.
- The RSC values indicate that the surface and groundwater are unsuitable for irrigation and need therefore soil modification. Therefore, an application is needed to modify the soil by application of gypsum.
- The MH calculated values indicates that the surface and groundwaterare not harmful for irrigation.
- Boron concentration level indicates that the surface water and groundwater are safe all plans during irrigation.
- The chloride and nitrate concentration levels indicate that the surface water and groundwater are safe for all plants during irrigation.

References

Ayers, R.S&Westcot, D.W. (1985). *Water quality for agriculture*. Food and Agricultural Organisation

Tanji, K.K. (1990). Agricultural salinity assessment and management manual. American Society of Civil Engineers.